

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 over the patent to Junkers in view of the patent to Berneuil.

At the same time, claim 5 is indicated as generally allowable.

Also, claim 5 is objected to and the specification is objected to as well.

In connection with the Examiner's objection to the specification, applicant has amended the specification correspondingly so as to clearly describe the construction of the torque wrench of the present invention as required. Also, claim 5 has been amended to clearly define that the other piston 8 is provided with an additional rod extending within the opposite side of the piston 8 and having the same diameter as the solid piston rod 7, so that the pistons 5 and 8 have equal piston areas.


Thus, the specification and claim 5 have been made exactly in correspondence with the Examiner's proposal. Since the Examiner indicated that we thusly amended claim 5 can be considered as being

allowable it is believed that claim 5 as amended should be considered in allowable condition which will be allowed.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal aspects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at (631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 27233